

### Multiplicative Inverses

It would be nice to have a multiplicative *inverse*. That is given a matrix  $A$ , find the inverse matrix  $A^{-1}$  so that  $AA^{-1} = A^{-1}A = I$ . Such an inverse can be shown to be unique, if it exists (How?).

The following remarkable fact is useful where we introduce  $A^*$ , known as the *adjoint* of  $A$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}_A \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}_{A^*} = \begin{bmatrix} ad - bc & 0 \\ 0 & ad - bc \end{bmatrix} = (ad - bc) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \det(A)I$$

where we have defined

$$\det(A) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}\right) = ad - bc.$$

Now if  $\det(A) \neq 0$ , then

$$A \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\det(A)} A^*\right) = I$$

and so it is sensible to define

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} A^*$$

and we find that  $AA^{-1} = I$  and then we can verify that  $A^{-1}A = I$  as well so that  $A^{-1}$  is the multiplicative inverse of  $A$ . One verification is obtained by showing  $A^*A = \det(A)I$ .

If  $\det(A) \neq 0$ , then  $A$  has an inverse  $A^{-1}$  of the form

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d}{ad-bc} & \frac{-b}{ad-bc} \\ \frac{-c}{ad-bc} & \frac{a}{ad-bc} \end{bmatrix}.$$

If  $\det(A) = 0$ , then we can show no inverse exists. If  $A = 0$ , then we can easily verify that  $AB = 0$  for any choice of  $B$  and so there can be no  $A^{-1}$ . If  $A \neq 0$ , we note that  $AA^* = 0$  and we get a contradiction by computing

$$A^* = A^{-1}AA^* = A^{-1}0 = 0.$$

A better way to state this is as follows: If  $\det(A) = 0$ , then there exists an  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$  with  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  and hence  $A^{-1}$  does not exist. The choice of  $\mathbf{x}$  could either be a non zero column of  $A^*$  or in the event that  $A^*$  is 0, then any non zero vector  $\mathbf{x}$  would do. We compute to get a contradiction as before:

$$\mathbf{x} = I\mathbf{x} = A^{-1}A\mathbf{x} = A^{-1}\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Another approach is to note that  $A$  has an inverse if and only if the two columns of  $A$  are not multiples of one another. This is the observation that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \text{ has } ad - bc \neq 0 \text{ if}$$

$$\text{the fractions } \frac{a}{c} \neq \frac{b}{d} \text{ and so } \begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix} \neq k \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \end{bmatrix} \text{ for any } k.$$

Of course, this argument must be extended to take care of cases where either  $c = 0$  or  $d = 0$ , but I will leave that as an exercise.

We can check that

$$(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}.$$

Rather more remarkably, we find

$$\det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B)$$

which we can verify using arbitrary matrices.

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ae + bg & af + bh \\ ce + dg & cf + dh \end{bmatrix}$$

We compute

$$\det(A) \det(B) = (ad - bc)(eh - gf) = adeh - adgf - bceh + bcgf$$

$$\det(AB) = (ae + bg)(cf + dh) - (af + bh)(ce + dg) =$$

$$acef + adeh + bcfg + bdgh - acef - adfg - bceh - bdgh.$$

Noting the remarkable cancellation of the terms  $acef$  and  $bdgh$ , we verify the equality  $\det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B)$ . (*Aside: a general proof for larger matrices will have a different flavour, this particular proof can also be generalized*)