

Fano's \neq , lower bounds for compressed sensing

Note Title

2015-12-02

Sparse linear

$$\text{model: } y = Ax + z \cdot \sigma, \quad x \in K_s := \{x: \|x\|_0 \leq s\}$$

\uparrow sub-Gauss $\quad \quad \quad \mathcal{N}(0, I)$

Recall: Let \hat{x}_ℓ be the soln to ℓ_1 -min. as in last lecture. We showed that

$$\sup_{x \in K_s} P(\|\hat{x}_\ell - x\|_2^2 > \frac{c \log(\frac{n}{s})}{n} \sigma^2) \leq .02$$

provided $n \geq c \log(\frac{n}{s})$

Today we show that no estimator can do much better. We use:

Thm (Synthesized Fano's \neq)

Let $y = x + z \cdot \sigma$ $x \in K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$.

Suppose $\sqrt{\frac{1}{10}} P(K \cap \delta B_2^n, \frac{\delta}{10} B_2^n) \geq c \cdot \frac{\delta}{\sigma}$
 some $\delta > 0$. Then

$$\inf_{\hat{x} = \hat{x}(y)} \sup_{x \in K} P(\|\hat{x} - x\|_2 > \frac{\delta}{20}) \geq 0.5$$

Remark If K is a cone, then

$$P(K \cap \delta B_2^n, \frac{\delta}{10} B_2^n) = P(K \cap B_2^n, \frac{1}{10} B_2^n)$$

"If you can pack a bunch of points in a ball in K , then you can't distinguish one point from the heap."

Corollary: Suppose $y = Ax + z \cdot \sigma$, K is a cone, and $\frac{A}{\sqrt{n}}$ is a near isometry on K - K , that is,

$$\frac{1}{2} \|x\|_2 \leq \|Ax\|_2 \leq 2 \|x\|_2 \quad \forall x \in K-K.$$

Suppose $z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I) \sigma$.

Let $\delta := \sqrt{\log P(k \cap B_2, \subset B_2)}$

Then

$$\inf_{\hat{x}(y)} \sup_{x \in k} P(\|\hat{x}(y) - x\| > \frac{\delta \sigma}{\sqrt{m}}) > \frac{1}{2}$$

Proof: Exercise

We can use this to give minimax error for sparse linear models:

As shown in homework, $\sqrt{\log P(k, \cap B_2, \frac{1}{10} B_2)} \geq c \sqrt{\log \binom{m}{k}}$.

$$\Rightarrow \inf_{\hat{x}(y)} \sup_{x \in k} P(\|\hat{x}(y) - x\|^2 \geq c \frac{\log \binom{m}{k}}{m} \sigma^2) \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

if A is a near isometry, which occurs w.p. ≥ 0.99 if $m \geq c \log \binom{m}{k}$.

Proof of synthesized Fano's
Assume $\sigma = 1$ for simplicity.

Possible step 1: Give k a prior, i.e. let x be randomly distributed, supported on k .

Then for any estimator $\hat{x}(y)$, $\delta > 0$,

$$\sup_{x \in k} P(\|\hat{x}(y) - x\|_2 > \delta) \leq \underbrace{P_{x,y}(\|\hat{x}(y) - x\|_2 > \delta)}_{\text{probability taken over } x \text{ \& } y}$$

Our step 1 Let \mathcal{X} be a $\frac{\delta}{10}$ -packing of $k \cap \delta B_2^n$.

Put a uniform prior on \mathcal{X} .

Then,

$$\inf_{\mathcal{X}} \sup_x P(\|\hat{x}(y) - x\|_2 \geq \frac{\delta}{2\sigma}) \geq \inf_{\hat{x}} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(\hat{x}(y) \neq x)$$

$$\geq \inf_{x, y} P(\hat{x}(y) \neq x)$$

$$= P_{x, y}(\hat{x}_{\text{MLE}}(y) \neq x)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}_{\text{MLE}} &= \text{maximum likelihood estimator} \\ &= \operatorname{argmax}_{\bar{x} \in \mathcal{X}} l(y | \bar{x}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } l(y | \bar{x}) := \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\|y - \bar{x}\|_2^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{x}_{\text{MLE}}(y) = \operatorname{argmin}_{\bar{x} \in \mathcal{X}} \|\bar{x} - y\|_2$$

$$\text{i.e. } P(\hat{x}_{\text{MLE}}(y) = x) = P(x \text{ is closest to } y)$$

{x is closest to y}

$$\Leftrightarrow \|x - y\|_2^2 \leq \|\bar{x} - y\|_2^2 \quad \forall \bar{x} \in \mathcal{X}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \|z\|_2^2 \leq \|\bar{x} - x - z\|_2^2$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \langle \bar{x} - x, z \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\bar{x} - x\|_2^2$$

$$\text{The RHS} \leq 2\delta^2$$

$$\text{Thus } P(\hat{x} \neq x) \geq P\left(\sup_{\bar{x} \in \mathcal{X}} \langle \bar{x} - x, z \rangle \geq 2\delta^2\right) \quad (*)$$

Condition on x . Then

$\sup_{\bar{x} \in \mathcal{X}} \langle \bar{x} - x, z \rangle$ is a Gaussian process

$$\text{Note } \mathbb{E} \sup_{\bar{x} \in \mathcal{X}} \langle \bar{x} - x, z \rangle = w(\mathcal{X}) \geq c\delta \sqrt{\log|\mathcal{X}|} \quad (1)$$

by Sudakov \neq .

Further, by Borel-TIS \neq , the process concentrates around its mean, that is

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sup_{\bar{x} \in \mathcal{X}} \langle x - \bar{x}, z \rangle - w(\mathcal{X}) \right\|_{\psi_2} &\leq C \cdot \max_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \|\langle x - \bar{x}, z \rangle\|_{\psi_2} \\ &\leq C \max_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \|x - \bar{x}\|_2 \\ &\leq C \cdot \delta \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

Combine (1) and (2) to give

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \langle x - \bar{x}, z \rangle &\geq \frac{1}{2} w(\mathcal{X}) \geq C \delta \sqrt{\log |\mathcal{X}|} \\ &\quad \uparrow \\ &\quad \text{w.p. } \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \quad \quad (\text{provided } |\mathcal{X}| > C) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (*), we see that

$$P(\hat{x} \neq x) \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{provided } \sqrt{\log |\mathcal{X}|} \geq C \cdot \delta.$$

■