

Matrix Bernstein \neq

Note Title

2015-11-16

Recall: (scalar) Bernstein \neq for bounded r.v.'s:

Thm (Bernstein \neq) Let X_1, \dots, X_n be
ind., zero-mean, r.v.'s. Suppose:

$$|X_i| \leq K \text{ a.s., } \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} X_i^2 \leq \sigma^2.$$

Then,

$$P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right| > t\right) \leq 2 \exp\left(\frac{-\frac{1}{2}t^2}{\sigma^2 + \frac{Kt}{3}}\right)$$

$$\sim 2 \exp(-C \min\left(\frac{t^2}{\sigma^2}, \frac{t}{K}\right)).$$

\uparrow Sub-Gauss. \uparrow Sub-exp.

(We proved a slightly
diff. version.)

Similar result holds for sums of random
matrices:

Thm (Matrix Bernstein \neq) Let X_1, \dots, X_m be
ind. self adjoint, mean-zero, $n \times n$ random
matrices. Suppose:

$$\max_i \|X_i\| \leq K \text{ a.s., } \left\|\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E} X_i^2\right\| \leq \sigma^2.$$

Then,

$$P\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^m X_i\right\| > t\right) \leq 2n \exp\left(\frac{-t^2/2}{\sigma^2 + Kt/3}\right)$$

Remarks:

① Spectral norm, $\|A\| = \sigma_1(A) = \max_{i=1 \dots n} |\lambda_i(A)|$

= largest eigenvalue since A
is self-adjoint.

② Matrix version recovers scalar version when $n=1$.

③ If X_i are not self adjoint, replace them
w/ $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & X_i \\ X_i^T & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

We will prove this using MGF as before. Set

$$S_m = \sum_{i=1}^m X_i.$$

$$P(S_m > t) \stackrel{?}{\leq} P(\mathbb{E} e^{\lambda S_m} > e^{\lambda t})$$

↑ matrix ↓ scalar

Self adjoint matrix relations:

① Partial ordering:

• $A \leq B \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} B - A \geq 0$ i.e. $B - A$ is PSD
i.e. all eigenvalues of $B - A$ are ≥ 0 .

② Exponent: $e^A := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^k}{k!} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^k u_i u_i^*$ $\stackrel{\uparrow \text{eigen-vals}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n e^{\lambda_i} u_i u_i^*$ $\stackrel{\uparrow \text{eigen-vecs}}{=}$
(exponentiate eigenvalues)

③ Unfortunately $e^{A+B} \neq e^A e^B$.

But, $\boxed{\text{tr}(e^{A+B}) \leq \text{tr}(e^A e^B)}$

"Golden Thompson \neq " See T. Tao "What's new"
blog post for proof.

Proof (of matrix Bernstein \neq)

$\downarrow \text{identity}$

$$\|S_m\| \leq t \iff -tI_n \leq S_m \leq tI_n \quad (\text{All eig-vects } \in [-t, t])$$

$$\iff e^{-\lambda t} I_n \leq e^{\lambda S_m} \leq e^{\lambda t} I_n \quad (\lambda > 0)$$

We show $\star\star$ holds w.h.p. (Replace S_m w/ $-S_m$ to control \star .)

$\stackrel{\star\star}{}$

$$P(e^{\lambda S_m} \notin e^{\lambda t} I) \leq P(\text{tr}(e^{\lambda S_m}) \geq e^{\lambda t}) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E} \text{tr}(e^{\lambda S_m})}{e^{\lambda t}}$$

$\uparrow \exists \text{ an eig-val. } > e^{\lambda t}$

\uparrow "sum of eig.vals. $> e^{\lambda t}$ "

\uparrow markov \neq

It suffices to bound $\mathbb{E} \text{tr}(e^{\lambda S_m})$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \operatorname{tr}(e^{\lambda S_n}) &= \mathbb{E} \operatorname{tr}(e^{\lambda X_n + \lambda S_{n-1}}) \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \operatorname{tr}(e^{\lambda X_n} e^{\lambda S_{n-1}}) \quad (\text{By Golden-Thompson}) \\
&= \operatorname{tr}(\mathbb{E} e^{\lambda X_n} \cdot \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda S_{n-1}}) \\
&\leq \|\mathbb{E} e^{\lambda X_n}\| \cdot \operatorname{tr}(\mathbb{E} e^{\lambda S_{n-1}}) \quad (\underline{\text{Exercise}}) \\
&\leq \dots \leq \quad (\text{Continue by induction})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\prod \prod_{i=1}^n \|\mathbb{E} e^{\lambda X_i}\| \operatorname{tr} \underbrace{\mathbb{E} e^0}_{I_n} = n$$

$$= n \prod_{i=1}^n \|\mathbb{E} e^{\lambda X_i}\|$$

Now bound $\|\mathbb{E} e^{\lambda X_i}\|$. Assume $k=1$, i.e., $\|X_i\| \leq 1$.

Enforce $0 < \lambda \leq 1$. $\Rightarrow \|\lambda X_i\| \leq 1$. Let $Z = \lambda X_i$

Then,

$$\mathbb{E} e^Z \leq \mathbb{E}(I_n + Z + Z^2) \quad \text{Taylor series}$$

$$= I_n + Z^2$$

$$\leq e^{\mathbb{E} Z^2}$$

Exercise Justify above steps

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda X_i} \leq e^{\lambda^2 \mathbb{E} X_i^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\mathbb{E} e^{\lambda X_i}\| \leq e^{\lambda^2 \|\mathbb{E} X_i^2\|}$$

We have shown that

$$P(S_n \notin t I_n) \leq n e^{-\lambda t} \exp \lambda^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \|\mathbb{E} X_i^2\|$$

Set $\sigma^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|\mathbb{E} X_i^2\|$. Then,

$$P(S_n \notin t I_n) \leq n \exp(-\lambda t + \lambda^2 \sigma^2)$$

Optimize over $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. QED.

We have proven slightly weaker version

w/

$$\sigma^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|\mathbb{E} X_i^2\| \quad \text{not} \quad \sigma^2 = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} X_i^2 \right\|$$

\uparrow

[Ahlswede-Winter] [R. Oliveira], [Tropp]

Literature: [Tsel Trapp, "User-friendly..."]